

Evaluation of the effect of *Phyllanthus amarus*, *Jatropha curcas* and *Piliostigma thonningii* on experimental chicken coccidiosis

Hervé B. Dakpogan^{a*}, Venant P. Houndonougbo^b, Charles Pomalegni^c, Jérôme E. Ahounou^a, Christophe Chrysostome^b.

^aSchool of Animal Production System Management, P.O.BOX 43 Ketou, National Agricultural University, 01 P.O.BOX 55 Porto-Novo, Benin.

^bSchool of Animal Production Sciences and Techniques, Faculty of Agronomic Sciences 01 P.O.BOX 526, University of Abomey-Calavi, Benin.

^cNational Agricultural Research Institute of Benin (INRAB), 01 P.O. BOX 884, Cotonou, Benin.*Corresponding Author: H. B. Dakpogan, School of Animal Production System Management, P.O.BOX 43 Ketou, National Agricultural University, 01 P.O.BOX 55 Porto-Novo, Benin. Tel: 00229 9462 58 36. E-mail: dakpogan2002@yahoo.fr.

Keywords: Coccidiosis, *Phyllanthus amarus*, *Piliostigma thonningii*, *Jatropha curcas*, chick.

Publication date 30/11/2019, <http://www.m.elewa.org/JAPS>

1 SUMMARY

The anticoccidial activity of *Phyllanthus amarus* (Hurricane weed), *Jatropha curcas* (purging nut) and *Piliostigma thonningii* (monkey bread) was tested on seventy five *Eimeria tenella* infected Isa-brown male day-old chicks in a completely randomized design as an alternative measure of controlling coccidiosis. Each chick was orally challenged with 15 000 *Eimeria tenella* sporulated oocysts. There were five groups infected chicks. The first, second and third groups received , the decoction of *Phyllanthus amarus*, *Jatropha curcas* and *Piliostigma thonningii*, *ad libitum* respectively for five days post-inoculation as drinking beverage. The fourth group was treated with Amprolium orally for also five days post-infection and the fifth group was the infected untreated control. Body weight gain, feed conversion ratio, lesion score, proportion of bloody droppings, survivability, morbidity and oocyst excretion were evaluated. The results showed an efficacy of *Phyllanthus amarus* in the reduction of oocyst excretion with a reduction rate of 87% compared with the infected untreated control group oocyst excretion. Moreover, macroscopic lesion intensity reduction and low presence of bloody diarrhoea were observed with the *Phyllanthus amarus* treated chicks. The oocyst excretion reduction rate was 74% with *Jatropha curcas* infected treated chicks. The growth performance results were similar among the infected treated chick groups. *Piliostigma thonningii* was less effective in reducing oocyst excretion compared with the other two medicinal plants. Further spectroscopic studies are needed to value the active anticoccidial ingredients in these plants.

2 INTRODUCTION

Coccidiosis is caused by intracellular protozoa that parasitize the host digestive tract. The family of Eimeriidae includes about 25 genera. The best known of them are the genera *Eimeria*, *Isospora* and *Tyzzeria*, with several species which are of great medical and

veterinary importance. Avian coccidiosis is one of the major economically devastating parasitic diseases in poultry industry worldwide. Therefore, it is known as a limiting factor for poultry production (Bichet *et al.*, 2003). Chickens are infected by seven different species

of *Eimeria*: *E. acervulina*, *E. brunetti*, *E. maxima*, *E. mitis*, *E. necatrix*, *E. praecox* and *E. tenella*. The transmission between birds is done by ingestion of infectious oocysts (Del Cacho *et al.*, 2010). *Eimeria brunetti*, *E. necatrix* and *E. tenella* are associated with haemorrhagic coccidiosis and can be highly pathogenic, with high morbidity and some mortality (Long *et al.*, 1982). The remaining four species are usually less pathogenic, causing malabsorptive pathologies, although morbidity and mortality can occur depending on dose ingested, parasite strain-specific variation in virulence and host factors such as age, breed and immune status (Long *et al.*, 1976; Williams *et al.*, 2009). The different in-host stages of *Eimeria* spp. invade intestine cells (enterocytes), and replicate resulting in variable pathological changes (Tarek *et al.*, 2016). The changes range from local destruction of the mucosal barrier and underlying tissue, to systemic effects such as blood loss, and death (Yun *et al.*, 2000). Symptoms are associated with drop in egg production, impaired growth rate due to nutrients malabsorption in adults, necrotic enteritis due to *Clostridium perfringens*, and high mortality rates, especially, in young birds (Tewari and Maharana, 2011). Progress has been made in the prevention and control of coccidiosis by chemotherapy, particularly with the use of anticoccidial drugs and vaccination. The primary means of coccidiosis control is by prophylactic administration of in-feed anticoccidial drugs, although resistance is ubiquitous (Shirley *et al.*, 2007). The economical loss due to coccidiosis is estimated at US \$ 3 billion globally (Dalloul and Lillehoj, 2006). Carington *et al.* (2007) in South Africa and Dakpogan *et al.* (2013) in Benin have estimated the financial cost of anticoccidial drugs used per laying hen during its life cycle at US \$ 0.30. The intensive use of anticoccidial drug is increasingly worrisome because of anticoccidial drug-resistant coccidia strain emergence (Dakpogan *et al.*, 2018) and the

presence of drug residues in poultry products prejudicial to consumer health (Cannavan *et al.*, 2000 ; Mortier *et al.*, 2005 ; Danaher *et al.*, 2008). Conventional vaccines were developed to control coccidiosis (Williams 2002; Chapman *et al.*, 2002; Li *et al.*, 2005). Vaccines include live vaccines or live attenuated vaccines which may cause moderate or more severe vaccine reactions (Vermeulen *et al.*, 2001). The replication of live vaccine in the intestinal cells can be a predisposing factor to many gastrointestinal bacterial pathogens such as *Clostridium perfringens* and *Escherichia coli*. Also, relative to cost, the requirement for multiple parasite lines and production capacity prove limiting (Shirley *et al.*, 2005). Notwithstanding all of these issues, live vaccines work well when applied in the field and there is to date no substantive evidence that their use over several decades has driven selection of parasite populations towards resistance and immune escape (Shirley *et al.*, 2005). However, the development and application of next generation subunit or vectored vaccines based on the expression of a single, or a small number, of *Eimeria* antigens could drive more targeted immune selection, leading to the rapid appearance and dissemination of vaccine resistance in the field (Blake *et al.*, 2017). Consequently, alternative methods of coccidiosis control have become increasingly attractive. The anticoccidial alternative methods include management and biosecurity (Peek, 2010), Phytotherapy (Youn and Noh, 2001 ; Naidoo *et al.*, 2008 ; Arczewska and Swiatkiewicz, 2010 ; Peek and Landman 2011 ; Kheirabadi *et al.*, 2014 ; Dragan *et al.*, 2014 ; Dakpogan *et al.*, 2018), Prebiotics (Elmusharaf *et al.*, 2006 ; McCann *et al.*, 2006) and probiotics (Peek, 2010 ; Abbas *et al.*, 2012) with promising reported results. The current study came up with the results of the anticoccidial effect of *Phyllanthus amarus*, *Piliostigma thonningii*, and *Jatropha curcas* fresh leaves extract on chick *Eimeria tenella* coccidiosis.

3 MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1 Day-old chicks: Seventy five (75) day-old Isa-brown male chicks were housed in a deep litter-floured starting pen, under 22 hours lighting and held at initially 35 °C up to 22 day-old before being allocated to the experimental groups in the National Agricultural University poultry research station. The chicks had free access to feed and drinking water. Vaccination against Newcastle disease, Infectious bronchitis and Infectious bursal disease was the basic applied biosecurity measures.

3.2 *Eimeria tenella* oocysts and inoculation : *Eimeria tenella* oocysts preserved in 2% potassium dichromate solution were generously provided by the Department of Pathology and Pathogen Biology, Royal Veterinary College, North Mymms, Hertfordshire, UK and kept in a refrigerator (2-5 °C) until use. All the feces produced by each group of birds, during the 24 h preceding the experimental infection, were examined to confirm the absence of any oocyst. Each 23 day-old coccidia-free chick was challenged orally with a dose of 15 000 oocysts.

3.3 Herb extract and anticoccidial drug: The leaves of the three plants *Phyllanthus amarus*, *Jatropha curcas* and *Piliostigma thonningii* were collected at the flowering stage. They were washed and then dried in room temperature (30 °C) for 2 hours. After partial drying, the fresh leaves were weighed. One litre of boiled water was used for 100 g of fresh leaves. Tap water was boiled at 100 °C, removed from the fire and added to the leaves. After 30 minutes time period, the infusion was filtered, cooled at room temperature (30 °C) and served to the bird. This operation was repeated every morning during the five days treatment period. The infected chicks received the infusion *ad libitum* for five days post-infection corresponding to the period of oxidant insult induced by the coccidian parasite (Koinarski *et al.*, 2005). Amprolium was the conventional anticoccidial molecule used at the dose of 0.6 g per litre of water following the drug administration prescription.



Phyllanthus amarus



Jatropha curcas



Piliostigma thonningii

3.4 Experimental groups and data collection: Seventy-five (75) *Eimeria tenella* oocysts experimentally infected 23 day-old chicks were randomly assigned to five treatment groups on the basis of 15 subjects

per treatment (3 per group with 5 replications) in a completely randomized design. There were *Phyllanthus amarus* treated chicks group, *Jatropha curcas* treated chicks group, *Piliostigma thonningii* treated chicks group, amprolium treated chicks

group and the infected untreated control chicks group. The effectiveness of herb extracts was assessed on the basis of bloody diarrhoea, survival rate, oocysts excretion, lesion score, body weight gain and feed conversion ratio. The proportion of blood in feces from the third to seventh day post inoculation was evaluated by considering the amount of bloody faeces per bird divided by the total number of faeces excreted per bird, during this time period. The survival rate was estimated from the number of surviving chicks divided by the number of initial chicks. Oocysts excretion (Soulsby, 1986) was recorded from 6 to 14 day post inoculation. The method consist in diluting one gram of faeces in 30 ml of saturated sodium chloride flotation fluid. The resulting fluid was homogenized by thorough stirring. The faecal suspension was filtered and a subsample immediately taken with a Pasteur pipette. The two fields of the McMaster counting chamber were filled with the faecal suspension. After 3 mn, the *Eimeria* oocysts in both counting fields were counted under 10 photonic microscope magnification. The number of Oocysts Per Gram of faeces (OPG) was determined by

multiplying the number of counted oocysts by 200. The lesion scores were assessed (Johnson and Reid, 1970) at the 6th day post-infection. The method consist in attributing the score 0 when there is a total absence of macroscopic lesions in the caecum and the score 1 when there are some few petechiae scattered on the caecal wall. The score 2 is given when the caecal wall is thickened and the score 3 when a large amounts of blood and tissue debris occupy the caecum. The score 4 is attributed when there is liquid blood or coagulated clot in a distended caecum. Chick body weights and feed consumption in each group were recorded at the starting of the experiment and at the end of the first and the second weeks after experimental infection.

3.5 Statistical analysis: The descriptive and inferential analyses applied to oocyst excretion, body weight gain, feed conversion ratio and lesion score were made using the General Linear Model (GLM) procedure of SAS (vo. 9.2). Frequency procedure with fisher test was used for survivability and morbidity estimation and comparison.

4 RESULTS

Body weight gain and feed conversion ratio of the infected chicks groups treated with amprolium, *Phyllanthus amarus*, *Jatropha curcas*, and *Piliostigma thonningii* were significantly higher than those of the untreated infected control

chick group at the end of the first week post-infection ($p < 0.05$). However, these feed utilization efficiency parameters were the same at the end of the second week among all the groups ($p > 0.05$).

Table 1: Body weight gain and feed conversion ratio (M \pm SE) of infected chicks

| Experimental groups | Body weight gains (g) | | Feed conversion ratio | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Day 0 – day 6 | Day 6 – day 14 | Day 0 – day 6 | Day 6 – day 14 |
| Placebo | 6.77 ^a \pm 0.34 | 6.97 ^a \pm 0.85 | 4.04 ^a \pm 0.24 | 3.6 ^a \pm 0.27 |
| Amprolium | 10 ^b \pm 0.46 | 6.03 ^a \pm 1.09 | 2.82 ^b \pm 0.12 | 3.08 ^b \pm 0.06 |
| <i>Phyllanthus amarus</i> | 9.31 ^b \pm 0.40 | 5.60 ^a \pm 0.72 | 2.91 ^b \pm 0.27 | 3.37 ^{ab} \pm 0.05 |
| <i>Piliostigma thonningii</i> | 10.20 ^b \pm 0.53 | 6.37 ^a \pm 0.44 | 2.95 ^b \pm 0.17 | 3.65 ^a \pm 0.06 |
| <i>Jatropha curcas</i> | 10.58 ^b \pm 1.30 | 6.40 ^a \pm 0.71 | 2.46 ^b \pm 0.43 | 3.40 ^{ab} \pm 0.10 |

M: Mean, SE: Standard Error, (Values in columns that do not share the same superscript letters are significantly different at the significance level of 0.05).

The lesions scores and the proportion of bloody feces of the infected chick groups treated with amprolium and the medicinal plant extract such as *Phyllanthus amarus*, *Jatropha curcas*, and *Piliostigma thonningii* were significantly lower ($p < 0.05$) than those observed in the infected

untreated control chick groups. The lowest values were recorded in the *Phyllanthus amarus* extract treated chicks. The overall morbidity rate was 100%, however, no death was ever recorded among all the groups during the experimentation time period.

Table 2: Lesion scores, bloody droppings and mortality

| Experimental groups | Lesions scores (M \pm SE) | Proportion of bloody feces (M \pm SE) | Survivability (%) | Morbidity (%) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|-------------------|---------------|
| Placebo | 3.40 ^a \pm 0.2 | 13.81 ^a \pm 0.60 | 100 | 100 |
| Amprolium | 0.80 ^b \pm 0.3 | 1.33 ^b \pm 1.33 | 100 | 100 |
| <i>Phyllanthus amarus</i> | 0.60 ^b \pm 0.4 | 1.11 ^b \pm 1.11 | 100 | 100 |
| <i>Piliostigma thonningii</i> | 0.60 ^b \pm 0.4 | 1.33 ^b \pm 1.33 | 100 | 100 |
| <i>Jatropha curcas</i> | 1.20 ^b \pm 0.5 | 2.16 ^b \pm 1.32 | 100 | 100 |

M: Mean, SE: Standard Error, (Values in columns that do not share the same superscript letters are significantly different at the significance level of 0.05).

The oocyst excretions varied significantly among the experimental chick groups during the first 7 days post-infection. Chicks infected and treated with *Phyllanthus amarus* extract excreted significantly fewer oocysts (45.860) than all the other chick groups including the conventional anticoccidial drug treated chick

group (180.556). The oocysts excretion reduction rate of *Phyllanthus amarus* extract treated chick group was 87% compared with that of the infected untreated control group. These reduction rates were 74 and 65% for *Jatropha curcas* and *Piliostigma thonningii* respectively.

Table 3: Number of Oocysts Per Gram (OPG) (Mean $\times 10^2$)

| Patent period | Placebo | Amprolium | <i>Phyllanthus amarus</i> | <i>Piliostigma thonningii</i> | <i>Jatropha curcas</i> |
|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| Day 1 | 468 ^a | 630.40 ^b | 213.20 ^c | 504 ^{bc} | 259.60 ^{bc} |
| Day 2 | 1129.20 ^a | 518.40 ^b | 138.40 ^c | 189.20 ^{bc} | 241.20 ^{bc} |
| Day 3 | 925.60 ^a | 268.40 ^b | 76.40 ^b | 124 ^b | 189 ^b |
| Day 4 | 630.80 ^a | 106.80 ^b | 19.40 ^b | 71.20 ^b | 129.20 ^b |
| Day 5 | 83.66 ^a | 69.36 ^{ac} | 9.20 ^b | 39.20 ^{bc} | 45.60 ^{ab} |
| Day 6 | 33.36 ^a | 12.20 ^{ab} | 2 ^b | 17.20 ^{ab} | 10 ^b |
| Day 7 | 173.20 ^a | 200 ^a | 0 ^b | 320 ^a | 50 ^b |
| Total OPG | 3443.82 ^a | 1805.56 ^c | 458.6 ^b | 1264.8 ^c | 924.6 ^b |

(Values in lines that do not share the same superscript letters are significantly different at the significance level of 0.05).

4 DISCUSSION

The best growth performance and parasitological results were observed in *Eimeria tenella* infected chicks, treated with herb extracts with higher body weight gain, lower feed

conversion ratio, light post mortem ceecal lesions, lower proportion of bloody droppings and significant fewer oocysts excretion, comparable to the conventional anticoccidial

effect. The beneficial effect of medicinal plants in the control of avian coccidiosis was observed by several authors (Gotep *et al.*, 2016; Dakpogan *et al.*, 2018). The herein results agreed with many other findings about the effectiveness of herb extracts utilization against chicken coccidiosis. The most appealing include the anticoccidial activity of: *Sofora flavescens* (Youn and Noh, 2001), *Tulbaghia violacea* (Naidoo *et al.*, 2008), *Andrographis paniculata* (Arczewska and Swiatkiewicz, 2010), *Artemisia spp.* (Oh *et al.*, 1995 ; Allen *et al.*, 1997 ; Tamasaukas *et al.*, 1997 ; Arab *et al.*, 2006 ; Kheirabadi *et al.*, 2014; Dragan *et al.*, 2014 ; JinYing *et al.*, 2018), *Carica papaya* and *Vernonia amygdalina* (Dakpogan *et al.*, 2018). *Phyllanthus amarus* has proven to be the most effective anticoccidian among the three medicinal plants used in this study in terms of number of oocysts per gram in feces reduction with a reduction rate of 87%, when compared with the untreated infected control. *Phyllanthus amarus* is a plant of the family of Euphorbiaceae, perennial and widely distributed in tropical and subtropical countries (Mazumder *et al.*, 2006, Tahseen and Mishra, 2013). Ethnobotanically, several different organs of the plant are used against diabetes, inflammation, gastrointestinal disorders, urinary disorders, ulcers, cancer and microbial infections (Rajeshkumar *et al.*, 2002; Khatoon *et al.*, 2004; Saranraj and Sivasakthivelan, 2012; Ushie *et al.*, 2013). The antioxidant activity (Lim and Murtijaya 2007) and the antiplasmodic effect (Ajala *et al.*, 2011) of *Phyllanthus amarus* were also reported. The anti-parasitic activity of *Phyllanthus amarus* was tested and known by many researchers. Tolulope *et al.* (2011), in Nigeria observed the efficacy of *Phyllanthus amarus* on the resistant form of *Plasmodium yoelii* in albino rats as a preventive and curative treatment measures. The antioxidant activity of *Phyllanthus amarus* was observed in malarious albino rats with serum increase of antioxidants such as glutathione peroxidase, glutathione reductase, superoxide dismutase and catalase and decrease of malonic aldehyde. The serum increase of malonic aldehyde is a manifestation of the

oxidative stress observed in the pathogenesis of parasitic diseases (Ojezele *et al.*, 2017). The pathogenesis of coccidiosis is associated with oxidative stress caused by increased production of Reactive Oxygen Species due to parasite activities as well as the immune response of the host organism causing depletion of antioxidants enzyme levels, such as glutathione, a potent redox and the increase of lipid peroxidation of enterocytes and cells surrounding the intestines (Gotep *et al.*, 2016). The significant reduction in lesion score and oocysts excretion induced by *Phyllanthus amarus* could be attributed to its chemical compounds content such as phyllantine and hypophyllantine (Kushwaha *et al.*, 2013), normalizers of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS), which are oxidants of unsaturated fatty acids with a destructive effect on cellular organelles especially mitochondria. The anticoccidial activity of *Jatropha curcas* observed in this study is similar to that of *Phyllanthus amarus* with a better feed conversion ratio and a reduction rate of oocysts per gram of feces of 74% when compared to the infected untreated control group. Both plants belong to the same family of Euphorbiaceae. *Jatropha curcas* contains several chemical compounds such as alkaloids, saponins, steroids, diterpenoids known for their anti-parasitic effects (Catherine *et al.*, 1997; Staubmann *et al.*, 1999a; Aiyelaagbe *et al.*, 2007; Igbinsosa *et al.*, 2009). The leaves of *Jatropha curcas* are used to treat wounds, parasitic diseases including malaria, microbial infections, dysentery (Matsuse *et al.*, 1999; Staubmann *et al.*, 1999b; Parveen *et al.*, 2007; Igbinsosa *et al.*, 2009).

Piliostigma thonningii was less effective than the two previous plants in terms of oocyst excretion reduction with a reduction rate of 65% compared to the control group oocysts excretion. The antibacterial activity of *Piliostigma thonningii* (Akinpelu and Obuotor, 2000) and its prophylactic and curative effect on lipid peroxidation involved in cardiovascular disorders (Ighodaro and Omole, 2012) were reported.

Indeed, the herein results demonstrated the anticoccidial activity of Benin three medicinal

plants *Phyllanthus amarus*, *Jatropha curcas* and in a lesser extent *Piliostigma thonningii*. These plants are used in traditional medicine to prevent and cure malaria. However, further investigations are needed to determine the comparative

efficacy in preventive and curative treatment of the plant extracts, the appropriate extraction technique, the best active dose on coccidia and the best organ with potential anticoccidial activity.

5 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Dr. Damer P. Blake of the Department of Pathology and Pathogen Biology, Royal Veterinary College, North Mymms, Hertfordshire, UK, for

generously providing the *Eimeria tenella* sporulated oocysts used for the experimental infection in this study.

6 REFERENCES

- Abbas RZ, Iqbal Z, Khan A, Sindhu ZUD, Khan JA. and Khan MN: 2012. Options for integrated strategies for the control of avian coccidiosis. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, 14: 1014–1020.
- Aiyelaagbe OO, Adeyeni BA, Fatunsin OF. and Arimah BD: 2007. In vitro antimicrobial activity and phytochemical analysis of *Jatropha curcas* roots. *Int. J. Pharmacol.*, 3(1): 106-110.
- Ajala TO, Igwilo CI, Oreagba IA. and Odeku OA: 2011. The antiplasmodial effect of the extracts and formulated capsules of *Phyllanthus amarus* on *Plasmodium yoelii* infection in mice. *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Med.*, pp. 283-287.
- Akinpelu DA. and Obuotor E.M: 2000. “Antibacterial activity of *Piliostigma thonningii* stem bark.” *Fitoterapia*, 71 (4): 442–443.
- Allen PC, Lydon J. and Danforth HD: 1997. Effects of components of *Artemisia annua* on coccidia infections in chickens. *Poult. Sci.*, 76: 1156–1163.
- Arab H, Rahbari S, Rassouli A, Moslemi M. and Khosravirad F: 2006. Determination of artemisinin in *Artemisia sieberi* and anticoccidial effects of the plant extract in broiler chickens. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.*, 38: 497–503.
- Arczewska-Wlosek A. and Swiatkiewicz S: 2010. Response of chickens infected with coccidiosis to herbal extracts mix fed singly or in combination with additives. XIIIth European Poultry Conference, pp: 45-50.
- Bichet H, Sanaa M, Dorchies PH. and Reperant JM: 2003. Impact sanitaire et zootechnique des coccidioses cliniques chez la poule pondeuse au Sénégal. *Revue Méd. Vét.*, 154(6): 431 – 438.
- Blake DP, Ivan Pastor F, Mathieu JN. and Fiona M : 2017. Recombinant anticoccidial Vaccines, a cup half full. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution*, 55: 358-365.
- Cannavan A, Ball G. and Kennedy DG: 2000. Nicarbazine contamination in feeds as a cause of residue in eggs. *Food Additive Contamination*, 25: 829-836.
- Carrington C, du Plessis A. and Naidoo V: 2007. Index of Veterinary Specialities. Johncom publishers, Johannesburg, pp: 38–40.
- Catherine A, Carine B, Alain B, Francoise L, Jean-Louis P. and Bernard B : 1997. Curcacycline B, a cyclic nonapeptide from *Jatropha curcas* enhancing rotamase activity of cyclophilin. *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 38(16): 2845-2848.
- Chapman H, Cherry TH, Danforth G, Richards Shirley M. and Williams R: 2002. Sustainable coccidiosis control in poultry production: the role of live vaccines. *Int. J. Parasitol.*, 32: 617–629.
- Dakpogan HB, Salifou S, Gbangbotche A, Houndonougbo F. and Youssao I: 2013. Mode of anticoccidial drug utilization and their financial cost in

- Benin litter-based exotic layer rearing system. *Journal of Parasitology and Vector Biology*, 5(3): 27-30.
- Dakpogan HB, Mensah S, Attindehou S, Chrysostome C, Aboh A, Naciri M, Salifou S. and Mensah GA: 2018. Anticoccidial activity of *Carica papaya* and *Vernonia amygdalina* extract. *Int. J. Biol. Chem. Sci.*, 12 (5): 2101-2108.
- Dalloul RA, Lil, lehoj HS, Shellem TA. and Doerr JA: 2003. Enhanced mucosal immunity against *Eimeria acervulina* in broilers fed a *Lactobacillus*-based probiotic. *Poultry Sciences*, 82: 62-66.
- Danaher M, Campbell K, O'Keeffe M, Capurro E, Kennedy G. and Elliot CT: 2008. Survey of the anticoccidial feed additive nicarbazin (as dinitrocarbanilide residues) in poultry and eggs. *Food Additive Contamination*, 25: 32-40.
- Del Cacho E, Gallego M, Francesch M, Quílez J. and Sánchez-Acedo C: 2010. Effect of artemisinin on oocyst wall formation and sporulation during *Eimeria tenella* infection. *Parasitology International*, 59: 506-511.
- Dragan LA, Györke JF, Ferreira IA, Pop I. and Dunca M: 2014. Effects of *Artemisia annua* and *Foeniculum vulgare* on chickens highly infected with *Eimeria tenella* (phylum Apicomplexa). *Acta Vet. Scand.*, 56: 22–28.
- Elmusharaf M, Bautista V, Nollet L. and Beynen A: 2006. Effect of a mannan-oligosaccharide preparation on *Eimeria tenella* infection in broiler chickens. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.* 5: 583–588.
- Gotep JG, Tanko JT, Forcados GE, Muraina IA, Ozele N, Dogonyaro BB, Oladipo OO, Makoshi MS, Akanbi OB, Kinjir H, Samuel AL, Onyiche TE, Ochigbo GO, Aladelokun OB, Ozoani HA, Viyoff VZ, Dapuliga CC, Atiku AA, Okewole PA, Shamaki D, Ahmed MS. and Nduaka CI: 2016. Therapeutic and Safety Evaluation of Combined Aqueous Extracts of *Azadirachta indica* and *Khaya senegalensis* in Chickens Experimentally Infected with *Eimeria* Oocysts. *Journal of Parasitology Research*, 3: 3-4.
- Igbinosa OO, Igbinosa EO. and Aiyegoro OA: 2009. Antimicrobial activity and phytochemical screening of stem bark extracts from *Jatropha curcas* (Linn). *African Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology*, 3: 58-62.
- Ighodaro M, Agunbiade SO, Omole JO. and Kuti AO: 2012. Evaluation of the chemical, nutritional, antimicrobial and antioxidant vitamin profiles of *Piliostigma thonningii* leaves (Nigerian species). *Research Journal of Medicinal Plants*, 6(7): 537 – 543.
- Johnson J. and Reid WM: 1970. Anticoccidial Drugs: Lesion Scoring Techniques in Battery and Floor-Pen Experiments with Chickens. *Experimental Parasitology*, 28 : 30-36.
- Kheirabadi KP, Katadj JK, Bahadoran S, Teixeira da Silva JA, Samani AD. and Bashi MC: 2014. Comparison of the anticoccidial effect of granulated extract of *Artemisia sieberi* with monensin in experimental coccidiosis in broiler chickens. *Exp. Parasitol.*, 141: 129–133.
- Khatoon S, Rai V. and Rawat A: 2004. Comparative pharmacognostic studies of three *Phyllanthus* species. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 104: 79-86.
- Kushwaha SK, Dashora A, Dashora N, Patel JR. and Kori ML: 2013. Acute oral toxicity studies of the standardized methanolic extract of *Phyllanthus amarus* Schum & Thonn. *J. Pharm. Res.*, 6: 720-4.
- Li J, Zheng J, Gong P. and Zhang X: 2012. Efficacy of *Eimeria tenella* rhomboid-like protein as a subunit vaccine in protective immunity against homologous challenge. *Parasitol. Res.*, 110: 1139–1145.
- Lim YY. and Murtijaya J: 2007. Antioxidant properties of *Phyllanthus amarus* extracts as affected by different drying methods.

- LWT Food Sci. Technol., 40 (9): 1664-1669.
- Long PL: 1982. *The Biology of Coccidia*. University Park Press: Baltimore.
- Mortier L, Huet AC, Charlier C, Daeseleire E, Delahaut, P. and Van Peteghem C: 2005. Incidence of residues of nine anticoccidials in eggs. *Food Additive Contamination*, 22: 1120-1125.
- Mazumder A, Mahato A. and Mazumder R: 2006. Antimicrobial potentiality of *Phyllanthus amarus* against drug resistant pathogens. *Nat. Prod. Res.*, 20 (04): 323-326.
- McCann M, Newell E, Preston C. and Forbes K: 2006. The use of mannanoligosaccharides and/or tannin in broiler diets. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*, 5: 873-879.
- Matsuse TI, Lim YA, Hattori M, Correa M. and Gupta MP: 1999. A search for anti-viral properties in Panamanian Medicinal Plants - The effect on HIV and essential enzymes. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 64: 15-22.
- Naidoo V, McGaw LJ, Bisschop SP, Duncan N. and Eloff J: 2008. The value of plant extracts with antioxidant activity in attenuating coccidiosis in broiler chickens. *Vet. Parasitol.*, 153: 214-219.
- Ojezele OM, Mooke EG. and Onyesom I: 2017. Impact of generic antimalarial or *Phyllanthus amarus* and vitamin co-administration on antioxidant status of experimental mice infested with *Plasmodium berghei*. *Beni-Suef University Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 6(3): 260-265.
- Oh H, Youn H, Noh J, Jang D. and Kang Y: 1995. Anticoccidial effects of artemisinin on the *Eimeria tenella*. *Korean J. Vet. Res.*, 35: 123-130.
- Parveen BU, Shikha R. and Ashawani K: 2007. Traditional uses of medicinal plants among the rural communities of Churu district in the Thar Desert. *India. J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 113: 387-399.
- Peek H: 2010. Resistance to anticoccidial drugs: alternative strategies to control coccidiosis in broilers. PhD Diss., Univ. Utrecht, Utrecht, the Netherlands.
- Peek H. and Landman W: 2011. Coccidiosis in poultry: anticoccidial products, vaccines and other prevention strategies. *Vet. Quart.*, 31: 143-161.
- Rajeshkumar NV, Joy KL, Kuttan G, Ramsewak RS, Nair MG. and Kuttan R: 2002. Antitumour and anticarcinogenic activity of *Phyllanthus amarus* extract. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 81(1): 17-22.
- Saranraj P. and Sivasakthivelan P: 2012. Screening of antibacterial activity of the medicinal plant *Phyllanthus amarus* against urinary tract infection causing bacterial pathogens. *Appl. J. Hygiene.*, 1 (3) : 19-24.
- Soulsby EJJL: 1986. Helminths, Arthropods and Protozoa of domestic Animals. 7th Edn., Beilieres Tindal II, London, pp: 231.
- Staubmann R, Neube I, Gubitza GM, Steiner W. and Read JS: 1999. Esterase and lipase activity in *Jatropha curcas* L. seeds. *J. Biotechnol.*, 75: 117-126.
- Staubmann R, Manfred S, Alois H. and Theodor K: 1999. A complex of 5-hydroxypyrrolidin-2-one and pyrimidine-2,4-dione isolated from *Jatropha curcas*. *Phytochemistry*, 50: 337-338.
- Shirley MW, Smith AL. and Blake DP: 2007. Challenges in the successful control of the avian coccidian. *Vaccine*, 25: 5540-5547.
- Shirley MW, Smith AL. and Tomley FM: 2005. The biology of avian Eimeria with an emphasis on their control by vaccination. *Adv. Parasitol.* 60: 285-330.
- Sørensen JT, Edwards S, Noordhuizen J and Gunnarson S: 2006. Animal production system in the industrialized world. Scientific and technical review. *OIE*, 25(2): 493 - 503.
- Tahseen M. and Mishra G: 2013. Ethnobotany and diuretic activity of some selected

- Indian medicinal plants. *Pharma. Innovation*, 2 : 112-115.
- Tolulope OA, Cecilia II, Ibrahim AO. and Oluwatoyin AO: 2011. The antiplasmodial effect of the extracts and formulated capsules of *Phyllanthus amarus* on *Plasmodium yoelii* infection in mice. *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Med.*, pp. 283-287.
- Tewari A. and Maharana B: 2011. Control of poultry coccidiosis: changing trends. *J. Parasit. Dis.*, 35 : 10–17.
- Tarek AA, Bassant AE. and Laila HE: 2016. Development of immunization trials against *Eimeria spp.* *Trials in Vaccinology*, 5 : 38-47.
- Tamasaukas R, Ruiz H, Theis W. and De Basillio V: 1997. Efficacy of a disinfectant composed by citric extracts in a floor-pen trail with broilers in Venezuela. *Arch. Latinoam. Prod. Anim.*, 5: 612–615.
- Ushie O. and Neji PE: 2013. Etim. Phytochemical screening and antimicrobial activities of *Phyllanthus amarus* stem bark extracts. *Int. J. Mod. Biol. Med.*, 3 : 101-112.
- Vermeulen A, Schaap D. and Schetters TP: 2001. Control of coccidiosis in chickens by vaccination. *Vet. Parasitol.*, 100: 13–20.
- Williams RB, Marshall RN, Pages M, Dardi M. and del Cacho, E: 2009. Pathogenesis of *Eimeria praecox* in chickens: virulence of field strains compared with laboratory strains of *E. praecox* and *Eimeria acervulina*. *Avian Pathol.*, 38 359–366.
- Williams R: 2002. Anticoccidial vaccines for broiler chickens: pathways to success. *Avian Pathol.*, 31: 317–353.
- Youn HJ. and Noh JW: 2001. Screening of the anticoccidial effect of herb extracts against *Eimeria tenella*. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 96: 257-263.
- Yun C, Lillehoj H. and Lillehoj E: 2000. Intestinal immune responses to coccidiosis. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.*, 24: 303–324.