Phytochemical analysis and in vitro antifungal evaluation of *Jatropha curcas* against Late Leaf Spot disease on groundnut
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1 ABSTRACT
This study was done to evaluate the antifungal efficacy of *Jatropha curcas* leaf extracts against groundnut late leaf spot disease caused by *Phaeoisariopsis personata* (*P. personata)* and identify their bioactive compounds responsible for antifungal effects. *Jatropha curcas* leaves extracted sequentially through chloroform, ethyl acetate and methanol solvents were evaluated against the mycelial growth of *P. personata* by food poisoning method. About 0.1, 0.25 or 0.5 mg/ml (plant extract/water) of each extract were mixed in molten PDA poured into Petri dishes. Thereafter solidified amended PDA with extracts was kept at room temperature for 24 hours. A seven-day-old fungal plug (4mm diameter) of *P. personata* was plated at the middle of the Petri dishes in triplicates. Inoculation on PDA plates amended with fungicide Chlorothalonil (720g/L) or water was included as positive and negative control respectively. The results proved that *J. curcas* leaf extracts possessed fungicidal properties since they inhibited the growth of *P. personata*. Moreover the antifungal effect of *J. curcas* leaf extracts increased as concentration increased. Moreover, *J. curcas* leaf extracts highly inhibited mycelial growth by (85.78%) similar to standard fungicide (chlorothalonil) (88.37%) in this experiment. The presence of important compounds found in *J. curcas* leaf extracts by GC-MS supported their ability against *P. personata* pathogen. Among the major compounds identified with antifungal activity were hexadecanoic acid methyl ester, hexadecanoic acid ethyl ester, hexadecane, n-hexadecanoic acid, octadecanoic acid ethyl ester, phytol and 9,12-octadecadienoic acid (Z,Z)-methyl ester. The potentiality of *J. curcas* extracts in managing groundnut late leaf spot disease was confirmed by their ability to inhibit the growth of *P. personata* and possession of important phytochemical compounds.

2 INTRODUCTION
Groundnut late leaf spot disease (LLS) caused by *Phaeoisariopsis personata* (*P. personata*) is a major limiting factor to groundnut productivity in Tropics and Subtropics (Khedikar et al., 2010). LLS disease causes a considerable damage in the groundnut production leading to severe leaf defoliation hence reduces both pods yields and haulm by 23-47% (McDonald et al., 1985; Waliyar et al., 2000). Much efforts of managing this plant fungal pathogen have been developed. Fungicides application has remained as a primary strategy in managing plant diseases. Fungicides seem to be effective financially and manage fungal diseases immediately despite their
shortcomings causing pathogen disease resistant and detrimental effects to human and environment (Karaman et al., 2003; Monyo et al., 2009). Application of the natural bioactive compounds originated from plant resources has gained much interest aiming to replace the synthetic compounds (Karaman et al., 2003; Monyo et al., 2009). This interest is based on possession of phytochemicals, which act differently against pathogens (Sharstry et al., 2010; Gurjar et al., 2012). Jatropha curcas (J. curcas) is cultivated in subtropical and semiarid regions, mainly as potential source traditionally used for medicinal purposes (Fairless, 2007). Moreover J. curcas extracts from various parts i.e. leaves, stem, barks, roots, seed and seed oil have shown antifungal properties (Saetae and Suntornsuk, 2010). According to Siva (2008), J. curcas among 20 plant species was proved to have fungicidal property. Also according to Rahman et al. (2011), J. curcas fruit was reported its antifungal property. Furthermore, J. curcas leaf extract reported to inhibit the growth of C. musae causing anthracnose disease in banana. These few evidences suggest the fungicidal property of J. curcas. The study assessed the effectiveness of J. curcas leaf extracts against LLS that causes severe groundnut yield losses also identify the phytochemical compounds responsible for the management of named pathogen.

3 MATERIAL AND METHODS
3.1 Plant leaves: Plant leaves samples (J. curcas) were obtained from different parts in Arusha, Tanzania. Thereafter were washed, air-dried and ground to powder for extraction. “Pendo” groundnut variety, which is popular and highly susceptible to LLS disease, was obtained from Naliendele Agricultural Research Institute, Mtwara, Tanzania. Pendo variety is early maturity (90-100 days), has high yield performances, easy to harvest and pluck (Bucheyeki et al., 2010).

![Plate 1: Symptoms of late leaf spot caused by Phaeoisariopsis personata on groundnut leaves](image)

3.2 Preparation of leaf extracts: One kilogram of J. curcas powdered leaf was separately and sequentially extracted through different solvents in order of polarity chloroform (non-polar), ethyl acetate (mid-polar) and lastly on methanol (polar) at room temperature. Thereafter the leaf extracts were filtered by using Whatman no. 1 thereafter concentrated using rotary evaporator to give a sticky semisolid extract, which was kept in the refrigerator at 4˚C. 3.3 Isolation of pathogen and culture preparation: Groundnut leaves showing black and nearly circular spots appear on the lower side of the leaflet were obtained from the farmer’s fields from Singida and Dodoma regions, Tanzania. The isolation of the intended pathogen was done in the laboratory by adopting
the scheduled technique (Riker and Riker, 1936). Where the diseased leaf portions were cut into small pieces (1-2mm) sterilized with 0.1% mercuric chloride solution by soaking for 5 minutes then rinsed thrice with sterile distilled water (SDW) and dried on blotter paper. Thereafter those small pieces of leaves were plated on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) in a laminar hood then incubated at a room temperature 28 ± 2˚C for 7 days to allow fungi to grow. The emerged fungal colonies were sub cultured to a fresh PDA plates thereafter incubated at a room temperature for 7 days in order to obtain *P. personata* culture. Fungal pathogen *P. personata* was identified by a single spore method. Fungal mycelium from the fresh culture examined under Sterio-microscope (Magnification 40X) by observing their morphological and distinctive images/features (Agrios 2005).

### 3.4 In vitro test of *J. curcas* leaf extracts on *P. personata*

The antifungal activity of chloroform, ethyl acetate and methanol leaf extracts of *J. curcas* against *P. personata* was measured by using a food poisoning technique by adopting the technique described by Kritzinger et al. (2005) with some modification. The appropriate amounts of each stock of extract was added to 100 ml of PDA before pouring into Petri dishes to yield final concentrations of 0·1, 0.25 and 0.5 mg/ml. Plugs (5 ml diameter) of *P. personata* from 7-day-old fungal culture was placed at the centre of the Petri dishes containing PDA amended with either chloroform, ethyl acetate and methanol leaf extracts of *J. curcas* or *P. hysterophorus* leaf extracts. The plates without phytoextract served as negative control and plate along with synthetic fungicide Chlorothalonil (720g/L) served as positive control. Treatments were arranged in a complete randomized design (CRD) with three replications and were conducted twice. The inoculated petri plates were incubated at room temperature and the radial growth was recorded when the fungus reached the edge of the petri plates. The Percent inhibition of mycelial growth was calculated by comparing with mycelial growth of treatments/extracts and control following a standard proposed formular by Sivakumar et al. (2000);

\[
I = \frac{C - T}{C} \times 100
\]

Where;

- \(I\) = Percent inhibition,
- \(C\) = Colony diameter in control,
- \(T\) = Colony diameter in treatment

### 3.5 Phytochemical analysis

The phytochemical analysis of *J. curcas* extracts was done by using Gas chromatography and mass spectroscopy (GC MS) at Tropical Pesticides Research Institute (TPRI), Arusha-Tanzania. The analysis was done using 7890A GC connected to Agilent 5975 MSD (Agilent technology, USA). Helium was used as carrier gas at 1.2ml/min flow rate. The GC was equipped with capillary column (HP 5) length of 30 meters, film 0.25 µm and internal diameter 0.250mm and temperature limit 50˚C to 340˚C (360 ˚C) was used. The initial oven temperature was 50˚C for 2min and then increased by 10 ˚C/min rise in temperature (i.e. 50-280˚C). The injection volume was 1µl at a concentration of 1mg/ml of each sample. The mass spectionization voltage was 70eV and the total time taken for the analysis was 35min. The inlet temperature was 250˚C. Each peak in the chromatography was identified basing on the retention index and compared the fragmentation pattern of the compounds with the mass spectra in the National Institute Standard and Technology (NIST) library.

### 3.6 Statistical analysis

Data were subjected to 3-way ANOVA (analysis of variance) in factorial arrangement, using STATISTICA program. The treatment means were compared by applying Fischer’s least significant difference (LSD) at 5% level of significance.
4 RESULTS

4.1 In vitro evaluation of J. curcas: The antifungal efficacy of J. curcas leaf extracts at three level concentrations (0.1, 0.25 and 0.5mg/ml was determined by observing the mycelial growth of P. personata. The mycelial growth inhibition of P. personata differed significantly at (P≤ 0.001) under different treatments, solvents and concentrations. The treatments amended with chlorothalonil (standard fungicide) and J. curcas leaf extract inhibited P. personata mycelial growth highly (88.37%, 85.78%) respectively as compared with the negative control (untreated) (0.00%). Moreover methanolic leaf extracts J. curcas inhibited highly the mycelial growth (74.04%) followed by chloroform and ethyl acetate and (57.89%, 56.22%) respectively. Furthermore, the highest concentration of J. curcas leaf extracts (0.5mg/ml) inhibited the P. personata mycelial growth highly (78.07%) as compared with the lowest concentration (0.1mg/ml) (54.33%) (Table 1).

4.2 Interactive Effects between Treatments, Solvents and Concentrations: The mycelial growth of P. personata differed highly significantly under interaction of factors; i.e. Treatments and Solvents; and Treatments and Concentrations; (Table 1). Generally, J. curcas leaves extracted by methanol inhibited the mycelial growth P. personata compared to other solvents. Moreover, the mycelial growth of P. personata under different leaf extracts concentration differed significantly (P≤ 0.001) where high inhibition was experienced at the highest concentration compared with the lowest concentration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Percent inhibition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatments</td>
<td>85.78±1.64b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvents</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentrations</td>
<td>0.1 mg/ml</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3-way ANOVA (F-value)

| Treatments | 6761.46*** |
| Solvents | 9.78** |
| Concentrations | 31.33*** |
| Treatments *Solvents | 13.21*** |
| Treatments *Concentrations | 12.80*** |
| Solvents*Concentrations | 0.21ns |
| Treatments *Solvents*Concentrations | 0.77ns |

Means with different letters indicate significant differences among treatments according to Fischer’s least significant difference (LSD) test. *, **, ***: significant at (P≤0.05), P≤0.01, (P≤0.001) respectively, ns= not significant

4.3 Chemical Composition of Leaf Extracts: This study reveals that the use of organic solvents in extraction of selected plants has identified different compounds by GC-MS. From chloroform leaf extracts of J. curcas the following important phytochemical compounds
were identified (Table 2), the major compounds were \( n \)-hexadecanoic acid (7.89%), phenol, 2,4-bis (1, 1-dimethylethyl) (4.04%), cyclotetracosane (1.23%), hexadecane (1.20%) and octacosane (1.02%). The following major phytochemical compounds were identified from ethyl acetate leaf extracts of \( J. \) \( \textit{curcas} \); phytol (9.31%), hexadecanoic acid ethyl ester (3.97%), phenol 2, 4-bis (1, 1-dimethylethyl) (3.37%) and 5-eicosene, (E) (2. 11%), (Table 3). The following phytochemical compounds were identified from methanolic leaf extracts of \( J. \) \( \textit{curcas} \); phytol (26.75%), hexadecanoic acid methyl ester (14.32%), octadecanoic acid, methyl ester (2.79%), 9, 12-octadecadienoic (\( Z,Z \))-methyl ester (2.33%) (Table 4). The detected phytochemical compounds with antifungal property from chloroform, ethyl acetate and methanolic leaf extracts of \( J. \) \( \textit{curcas} \) with their retention times, peak area (%), molecular formular and formula are presented in Table 2, 3 and 4.

**Table 2:** Reported antifungal activity of phytochemical compounds obtained from \( J. \) \( \textit{curcas} \) chloroform leaf extract

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Retention time (min)</th>
<th>Compound name</th>
<th>Molecular formula</th>
<th>Molecular weight (g/mol)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.629</td>
<td>dodecane, 2,6,11-trimethyl-</td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{13}\text{H}</em>{32} )</td>
<td>212.41</td>
<td>(Zhang et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.745</td>
<td>2-tetradecene, (E)-</td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{14}\text{H}</em>{28} )</td>
<td>196.37</td>
<td>(Shirani et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.905</td>
<td>tetradecane</td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{14}\text{H}</em>{30} )</td>
<td>198.39</td>
<td>(Begum et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.460</td>
<td>pentadecane</td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{15}\text{H}</em>{38} )</td>
<td>254.49</td>
<td>(Zhang et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.958</td>
<td>octacosane</td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{20}\text{H}</em>{58} )</td>
<td>394.76</td>
<td>(Zhang et al., 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.192</td>
<td>sulfuric acid butyl decyl ester</td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{16}\text{H}</em>{34} \text{O}_5\text{S} )</td>
<td>306.50</td>
<td>(Sharma, 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.267</td>
<td>heneicosane</td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{21}\text{H}</em>{44} )</td>
<td>296.57</td>
<td>(Ebrahimabadi et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.461</td>
<td>phenol 2,4-bis(1, 1-dimethylethyl)</td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{14}\text{H}</em>{22} \text{O} )</td>
<td>206.32</td>
<td>(Manikandan et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.011</td>
<td>2-bromo dodecane</td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{12}\text{H}</em>{25} \text{Br} )</td>
<td>249.23</td>
<td>(Manikandan et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.503</td>
<td>hexadecane</td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{16}\text{H}</em>{34} )</td>
<td>226.44</td>
<td>(Zhang et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.041</td>
<td>heptadecane, 9-octyl-</td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{17}\text{H}</em>{52} )</td>
<td>352.68</td>
<td>(Musa et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.401</td>
<td>heptacosane</td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{17}\text{H}</em>{56} )</td>
<td>380.73</td>
<td>(Bouzabata et al., 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.002</td>
<td>2,4-dimethylvaldecan</td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{18}\text{H}</em>{30} )</td>
<td>198.38</td>
<td>(Begum et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.488</td>
<td>pentadecane</td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{15}\text{H}</em>{32} )</td>
<td>212.41</td>
<td>(Yuan et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.009</td>
<td>ethanol, 2-(octadecyloxy)-</td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{20}\text{H}</em>{42} \text{O}_2 )</td>
<td>314.50</td>
<td>(El-Din Mohy and Mohyeldin, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.142</td>
<td>hentriacontane</td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{31}\text{H}</em>{64} )</td>
<td>436.84</td>
<td>(Ruban and Gajalakshmi, 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.457</td>
<td>geranylgeraniol</td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{20}\text{H}</em>{34} \text{O} )</td>
<td>290.48</td>
<td>(Ashraf et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.542</td>
<td>octadecane</td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{18}\text{H}</em>{38} )</td>
<td>254.49</td>
<td>(Zhang et al., 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.869</td>
<td>( n )-hexadecanoic acid</td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{16}\text{H}</em>{32} \text{O}_2 )</td>
<td>256.42</td>
<td>(Omoruyi et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.584</td>
<td>12-methyl-E-E-2, 13-octadecadien-1-ol</td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{19}\text{H}</em>{36} \text{O} )</td>
<td>280.00</td>
<td>(Vijayabaskar and Elango, 2018).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.013</td>
<td>tetradecanal</td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{11}\text{H}</em>{26} \text{O} )</td>
<td>212.37</td>
<td>(Passos et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.037</td>
<td>cyclotetracosane</td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{25}\text{H}</em>{48} )</td>
<td>336.64</td>
<td>(Bughio et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Reported antifungal activity of phytochemical compounds obtained from *J. curcas* ethyl acetate leaf extract by GC-MS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Retention time (min)</th>
<th>Compound name</th>
<th>Molecular formula</th>
<th>Molecular weight (g/mol)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.539</td>
<td>1,2,3-ropanetriol, monoacetate</td>
<td>C₅H₁₀O₄</td>
<td>134.13</td>
<td>(Teoh and Mashitah, 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.460</td>
<td>2,5-pyrrolidinedione</td>
<td>C₈H₁₃NO₂</td>
<td>331.32</td>
<td>(Takayama et al., 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.826</td>
<td>hexadecane</td>
<td>C₁₆H₃₄</td>
<td>226.44</td>
<td>(Adeleye et al., 2010); (Oliveira et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.273</td>
<td>methyl salicylate</td>
<td>C₈H₆O₃</td>
<td>152.15</td>
<td>(Pawar and Thaker, 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.321</td>
<td>triacetin</td>
<td>C₈H₁₄O₆</td>
<td>218.21</td>
<td>(Osuntokun and Olajubu, 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.813</td>
<td>heptadecane</td>
<td>C₁₇H₃₆</td>
<td>240.5</td>
<td>(Zhang et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.899</td>
<td>8-hexadecenal, 14-methyl-, (Z)-undecane</td>
<td>C₁₇H₃₅O</td>
<td>252.4</td>
<td>(Osuntokun and Olajubu, 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.952</td>
<td>phenol, 2,4-bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)</td>
<td>C₁₁H₂₄</td>
<td>156.31</td>
<td>(Wanxi et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.467</td>
<td>1-naphthalenol</td>
<td>C₁₀H₈O</td>
<td>144.17</td>
<td>(Kumar et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.337</td>
<td>2,6,10,14,18,22-tetracosahexaene</td>
<td>C₂₄H₃₈</td>
<td>326.6</td>
<td>(Devakumar et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.688</td>
<td>heptadecane</td>
<td>C₁₁H₃₆</td>
<td>240.48</td>
<td>(Zhang, et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.591</td>
<td>1H-indene, 1-ethylidenedioctahydro-7-a-methyl-</td>
<td>C₁₂H₂₂</td>
<td>166.30</td>
<td>(Wang et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.889</td>
<td>E-14-hexadecenal</td>
<td>C₁₆H₃₆O</td>
<td>238.41</td>
<td>(Devakumar et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.106</td>
<td>1-tetradecene</td>
<td>C₁₄H₂₈</td>
<td>196.37</td>
<td>(Tayung and Jha, 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.896</td>
<td>tetramethyl-2-hexadecen-1-ol</td>
<td>C₂₀H₄₈O</td>
<td>296.50</td>
<td>(El-Din Mohy and Mohyeldin, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.688</td>
<td>n-hexadecanoic acid</td>
<td>C₁₆H₃₅O₂</td>
<td>256.42</td>
<td>(Tyagi and Agarwal, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.983</td>
<td>9,12-octadecadienoic acid (Z,Z)-</td>
<td>C₁₉H₃₃O₂</td>
<td>280.40</td>
<td>(El-Din Mohy and Mohyeldin, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.109</td>
<td>5-eicosene, (E)-hexadecanoic acid ethyl ester</td>
<td>C₂₀H₄₀</td>
<td>280.50</td>
<td>(Adibe et al., 2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.179</td>
<td>9,17-octadecadienal, (Z)- phytol</td>
<td>C₁₈H₃₉O</td>
<td>264.40</td>
<td>(Adibe et al., 2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.413</td>
<td>9,12,15-octadecatrienoic acid ethyl ester, (Z,Z,Z)-</td>
<td>C₂₀H₄₈O</td>
<td>296.54</td>
<td>(Pejin et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.008</td>
<td>heptadecanoic acid ethyl ester</td>
<td>C₁₉H₃₅O₂</td>
<td>306.48</td>
<td>(El-Din Mohy and Mohyeldin, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.186</td>
<td>eicosane</td>
<td>C₂₀H₄₂</td>
<td>282.50</td>
<td>(El-Naggar et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retention time (min)</td>
<td>Compound name</td>
<td>Molecular formula</td>
<td>Molecular weight (g/mol)</td>
<td>References</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.539</td>
<td>1,2,3-propanetriol monoacetate</td>
<td>C₉H₁₀O₄</td>
<td>134.13</td>
<td>(Teoh and Mashitah, 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.273</td>
<td>methyl salicylate</td>
<td>C₉H₈O₃</td>
<td>152.15</td>
<td>(Essien et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.549</td>
<td>2-undecanone</td>
<td>C₁₁H₂₂O</td>
<td>170.29</td>
<td>(Bisht and Chanotiya, 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.841</td>
<td>indole</td>
<td>C₅H₇N</td>
<td>117.15</td>
<td>(Sumiya et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.989</td>
<td>decanoic acid methyl ester</td>
<td>C₁₀H₂₀O₂</td>
<td>186.29</td>
<td>(Belakhdar et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.121</td>
<td>2-methoxy-4-vinylphenol</td>
<td>C₁₀H₁₀O₂</td>
<td>150.17</td>
<td>(Guo et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.287</td>
<td>tert-hexadecanethiol</td>
<td>C₁₅H₃₃S</td>
<td>258.50</td>
<td>(Yang et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.653</td>
<td>phenol, 2,6-dimethoxy-</td>
<td>C₁₅H₁₀O₃</td>
<td>154.16</td>
<td>(Yang et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.813</td>
<td>tetradecane</td>
<td>C₁₄H₃₀</td>
<td>198.39</td>
<td>(Begum et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.905</td>
<td>cyclotetradecane</td>
<td>C₁₄H₂₈</td>
<td>196.37</td>
<td>(Afrouzan et al., 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.991</td>
<td>pentanoic acid ethyl ester</td>
<td>C₆H₁₀O₂</td>
<td>130.18</td>
<td>(Sumiya et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.248</td>
<td>2-propenoic acid 3-phenyl- methyl ester</td>
<td>C₁₀H₁₀O₂</td>
<td>162.18</td>
<td>(Umaiyambigai et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.334</td>
<td>diphenyl ether</td>
<td>C₁₀H₁₀</td>
<td>170.21</td>
<td>(Zhang et al., 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.198</td>
<td>pentadecane</td>
<td>C₁₅H₃₀</td>
<td>212.41</td>
<td>(Zhang et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.272</td>
<td>tridecane</td>
<td>C₁₀H₂₈</td>
<td>184.36</td>
<td>(Yuan et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.503</td>
<td>hexadecane</td>
<td>C₁₆H₃₂</td>
<td>226.44</td>
<td>(Oliveira et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.706</td>
<td>heptadecane</td>
<td>C₁₇H₃₄</td>
<td>240.47</td>
<td>(Musa et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.797</td>
<td>17-pentatriacontene</td>
<td>C₂₅H₄₀</td>
<td>490.93</td>
<td>(Zhang et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.889</td>
<td>1-nonadecene</td>
<td>C₁₀H₂₈</td>
<td>266.50</td>
<td>(Asong et al., 2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.015</td>
<td>E-15-heptadecenal</td>
<td>C₁₇H₃₂O</td>
<td>252.43</td>
<td>(Begum et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.192</td>
<td>8-hexadecenal 14-methyl-</td>
<td>C₁₇H₃₂O</td>
<td>252.40</td>
<td>(Aja et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.787</td>
<td>cyclopentadecane</td>
<td>C₁₅H₃₀</td>
<td>210.40</td>
<td>(Nakashima et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.474</td>
<td>hexadecanoic acid methyl ester</td>
<td>C₁₉H₃₅O₂</td>
<td>270.45</td>
<td>(Belakhdar et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.777</td>
<td>1-octadecene</td>
<td>C₁₈H₃₆</td>
<td>252.48</td>
<td>(Omoruyi et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.868</td>
<td>2-methyl-Z, Z-3, 13-octadecadienol</td>
<td>C₁₀H₃₆O</td>
<td>280.49</td>
<td>(Phatangare et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.983</td>
<td>oleic acid</td>
<td>C₁₈H₃₄O₂</td>
<td>282.46</td>
<td>(Adibe et al., 2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.486</td>
<td>9,17-octadecadienol, (Z)-</td>
<td>C₁₈H₃₂O</td>
<td>264.40</td>
<td>(Walters et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.836</td>
<td>2-methyl-Z,Z-3,13-octadecadienol</td>
<td>C₁₀H₃₆O</td>
<td>280.28</td>
<td>(Adibe et al., 2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.288</td>
<td>9, 12-octadecadienoic acid (Z,Z)-methyl ester</td>
<td>C₁₀H₃₄O₂</td>
<td>294.47</td>
<td>(Adibe et al., 2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.413</td>
<td>phytol</td>
<td>C₂₀H₄₀O</td>
<td>296.00</td>
<td>(Chukwunonye et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.556</td>
<td>octadecanoic acid methyl ester</td>
<td>C₂₀H₃₈O₂</td>
<td>298.50</td>
<td>(Hema et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.129</td>
<td>behenic alcohol</td>
<td>C₂₃H₄₆O</td>
<td>326.60</td>
<td>(Banaras et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.186</td>
<td>octadecanoic acid ethyl ester</td>
<td>C₂₀H₄₂O₂</td>
<td>312.53</td>
<td>(Chandrasekaran et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5 DISCUSSION

The effect of *J. curcas* leaf extracts of chloroform, ethyl acetate and methanolic against *P. personata* was more similar to the standard fungicide. This is attributed by their ability to produce toxins, which act on named pathogen by reducing disease development (Kagale et al., 2004; Gupta et al., 2008). This agrees with the findings by Muklesur et al. (2011) *J. curcas* leaf extract inhibited the mycelial growth *C. gloeosporiodes* by 50% on rubber tree. Moreover, the results obtained from in vitro trial found that the antifungal activity of *J. curcas* extracts varied with the type of solvent used for extractions. The results showed that polar solvent (methanol) gave greater antifungal effects on mycelial growth of *P. personata* as compared to intermediate and non-polar extract (ethyl acetate and chloroform) respectively. Possibly the polar compounds extracted through methanol had higher antifungal properties than polar compounds. This corresponds with the study done by Sharma et al. (2016), the methanolic fraction of *J. curcas* marked antifungal activities against four pathogenic fungus strains. Furthermore, correspond with the findings by (Krishnananda et al., 2017) where *J. curcas* methanolic root extract shown antifungal activity up to 23.1% growth inhibition against Rhizoctonia. In addition, the study showed that the mycelial growth of *P. personata* was highly inhibited at highest concentration of *J. curcas* extracts than lowest concentration this shows that they are more fungitoxic at higher concentrations. This study corresponds with the investigation by (Amah et al., 2009) where *J. curcas* extract inhibited the growth of *F. oxysporum* by 54% inhibition at highest concentration (80 mg/ml) as compared with 10% inhibition at the lowest concentration 20mg/ml. Likewise according to Bajpai et al. (2012); disease severity was lowered as the concentration of plant extracts increased in all tests. Furthermore, the fungal growth was minimized as plant extract concentration increased (Goel and Sharma, 2013). GC-MS analysis was performed on *J. curcas* leaf extracts through chloroform, ethyl acetate and methanol as these exhibited antifungal activities. The major phytochemical compounds identified from this study were hexadecanoic acid ethyl ester, hexadecane, n-hexadecanoic acid, hexadecanoic acid methyl ester, octadecanoic acid ethyl ester, phytol and 9, 12-octadecadienoic acid (Z,Z)-methyl ester. Amongst hexadecanoic acid ethyl ester, hexadecanoic acid methyl ester-, octadecanoic acid ethyl ester, hexadecane, n-hexadecanoic acid, hexadecane, n-hexadecanoic acid, and 9, 12-octadecadienoic acid (Z,Z)-methyl ester are fatty acid with exceptional to phyto being diterpene alcohol. According to Hema et al. (2011); Belakhdar et al. (2015); (Chukwunonye et al. (2015)); (Banaras et al. (2017) the identified compounds play a great role as antifungal agent. Normally, the fatty compounds absorb the fungus since it has lipophilic nature (Bassey et al., 2013).

6 CONCLUSION

This study showed that *J. curcas* leaf extracts has antifungal effect against *P. personata* since they possess important bioactive compounds such as hexadecanoic acid methyl ester, hexadecanoic acid ethyl ester, octadecanoic acid ethyl ester, hexadecane, n-hexadecanoic acid, phytol and 9, 12-octadecadienoic acid (Z,Z)-methyl ester. Hence *J. curcas* is an important agent for managing the groundnut late leaf spot disease aiming to improve groundnut production.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hexadecane</th>
<th>Octadecadienoic acid (Z,Z)</th>
<th>9,17-octadecadienal, (Z)-</th>
<th>Hexadecanoic acid methyl ester</th>
<th>docosanoic acid methyl ester</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C_{18}H_{32}O</td>
<td>CH</td>
<td>C_{28}H_{46}O_{2}</td>
<td>264.40</td>
<td>282.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Chukwunonye et al., 2015) (Shirani et al., 2017) (Aida et al., 2017)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Mass (amu)</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Mass (amu)</th>
<th>Compound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hexadecane</td>
<td>22.096</td>
<td>Octadecadienoic acid (Z,Z)</td>
<td>23.875</td>
<td>9,17-octadecadienal, (Z)-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.241</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(CCQETTCC)
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